

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

Linda Hardwick,	)	C.A. No. 4:05-2775-TLW-TER
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
Provident Life and Accident Insurance	)	
Company,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that the Complaint in this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute. In the alternative, it is recommended that defendant’s motion for summary judgment be granted and this case be dismissed. (Doc. # 45).

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the

applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 45), and the Complaint in this action is dismissed for failure to prosecute. Additionally, after careful review, this Court also finds that defendant's motion for summary judgment should be granted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Terry L. Wooten  
**TERRY L. WOOTEN**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

August 20, 2007  
Florence, South Carolina